THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS	FILED
	ÉRKS OFFICE
Camilo H. Acosta, Plaintiff V. CIVIL ACTIO	AR 10 P 1: 26
THOMAS RIDGE, as Secretary of the Department) of Homeland Security; EDUARDO AGUIRRE, Director of the U.S. Citizenship & Immigration MAGISTRATE JUDGE (XCI Services; DENNIS RIORDAN, as District Director) of the U.S. Citizenship & Immigration Services for) Boston, Massachusetts, Defendants	RECEIPT # (1/55) AMC UNT \$25
PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FOR WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS & DECLARATORY JUDGEMENT	MOF 3SUED BY DPTY CLK. FULL DATE 9 1005

NOW come the Plaintiff, Camilo H. Acosta, in the above-captioned matter, and hereby states as follows:

1. This action is brought against the Defendants to compel action on the clearly delayed processing of an I-485 Application filed by the Plaintiff, Camilo H. Acosta. The application was filed and remains within the jurisdiction of the Defendants, who have improperly delayed processing the application to Plaintiff's detriment.

PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff, Camilo H. Acosta, resides at 832 Dobres Street, #3, San Francisco, CA 94110, is the beneficiary of an I-485, Application to Register Permanent Resident or Adjust Status, filed with the USCIS.
- 3. Defendant Thomas Ridge is the Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security, and this action is brought against him in his official capacity. He is generally charged with enforcement of the Immigration and Nationality Act, and is further authorized to delegate such powers and authority to subordinate employees of the Department of Homeland Security. 8 USC §1103(a). More specifically, the Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security is responsible for the adjudication of applications for nonimmigrant visas filed pursuant to the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA). The U.S. Citizenship whom the Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security to delegated, and is subject to the Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security's

supervision.

- 4. Defendant Eduardo Aguirre is the Director of the U.S. Citizenship & Immigration Services (USCIS) and an official generally charged with supervisory authority over all operations of the USCIS with certain specific exceptions not relevant here. 8 CFR §103.1(g)(2)(ii)(B).
- 5. Defendant Dennis Riordan, District Director, is an official of the U.S. Citizenship & Immigration Services (USCIS) generally charged with authority over operations of the USCIS within his District with certain specific exceptions not relevant here. 8 CFR §103.1(g)(2)(ii)(B). As will be shown, Defendant Deputy District Director is an official with whom Plaintiff's application to Register Permanent Resident or Adjust Status was properly filed.

JURISDICTION

6. Jurisdiction in this case is proper under 28 USC §§1331 and 1361, 5 USC §701 et seq., and 28 USC §2201 et seq. Relief is requested pursuant to said statutes.

VENUE

7. Venue is proper in this court, pursuant to 28 USC §1391(e), in that this is an action against officers and agencies of the United States in their official capacities, brought in the District where a Defendant is located and performs his official duties.

EXHAUSTION OF REMEDIES

8. The Plaintiff has exhausted his administrative remedies. The Plaintiff has supplied the USCIS documents that clearly establish his eligibility to Register Permanent Resident or Adjust Status.

CAUSE OF ACTION

- 9. The Plaintiff properly filed an application I-485, Application to Register Permanent Resident or Adjust Status, pursuant to Section 245 of the Immigration & Naturalization Act.
- 10. This petition, along with an I-130 and supporting documentation, was filed in person at the Boston District Office on or about December 10, 2003. Fingerprints were submitted to the USCIS on or about January 2004. The Plaintiff was interviewed at the Boston District Office on May 24, 2004, and was informed that the USCIS had not completed his background check; and, therefore was unable to approve the petition.
- 11. On September 20, 2004, Plaintiff appeared before the USCIS Boston District Office in order to inquire information about the status of his case, and Plaintiff was told by a supervisor that the USCIS still had not completed his background check and it could be weeks or months until it was complete.

- 12. The defendants have failed to properly adjudicate this petition. They have failed to adhere to their own regulations and have improperly delayed the processing of the Plaintiff's I-485 Application after the Plaintiff had submitted a properly executed application. It has been 13 months since Plaintiff has filed his I-485.
- 11. Defendants have sufficient information to determine Plaintiff's eligibility pursuant to applicable requirements and complete the processing procedures.
- 12. Defendants' delay in this case is, as a matter of law, arbitrary and not in accordance with the law. Defendants willfully, and unreasonably, have inappropriately refused to adjudicate the petition, thereby depriving him of the rights to which the Plaintiff is entitled.
- 15. The Plaintiff has been greatly damaged by the failure of Defendants to act in accord with their duties under the law.
 - (a) Specifically, Plaintiff Camilo H. Acosta, has been unable to obtain legal permanent residence, travel and work without restriction and accrue time to be eligible for Naturalization as a citizen of the United States.
 - (b) Plaintiff Camilo H. Acosta and his wife, Anne R. McClure have moved to Cupertino, CA in June of 2004; therefore, making it more difficult to revisit the Boston District Office.
- 16. The Defendants, in violation of the Administrative Procedures Act, 5 USC §701 et seq., are unlawfully withholding action on the Plaintiff's application and have failed to carry out the adjudicative functions delegated to them by law with regard to the Plaintiff's case.
- 17. The Plaintiff has provided sufficient evidence of his attempt to secure adjudication of these applications at issue, all to no avail. Accordingly, the Plaintiff has been forced to retain the services of his attorney to pursue the instant action.

PRAYER

- 18. WHEREFORE, in view of the arguments and authority noted herein, the Plaintiff respectfully prays that the Defendants be cited to appear herein and that, upon due consideration, the Court enter an order:
 - (a) requiring Defendants to properly adjudicate Plaintiff's application for action on an approved petition;
 - (b) requiring Defendants to provide the Plaintiff with a Notice of Approval;
 - (c) awarding Plaintiff reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act.
 - (d) granting such other relief at law and in equity as justice may require.

Respectfully submitted,

Roxana V. Muro

FitzGerald & Company, LLC 18 Tremont Street, Suite 210

Boston, Massachusetts 02111

Telephone (617) 523-6320
Facsimile (617) 523-6324
rmuro@fitzgeraldlawcompany.com

Bar No. 653657

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 8th day of March 2005, I delivered a true and accurate copy of the foregoing documents, Civil Action Cover Sheet, Complaint, and Filing Fee, to the opposing parties or counsel, at the following addresses:

Mr. Dennis Riordan U.S. Citizenship & Immigration Services JFK Federal Building Boston, MA 02213

Roxana V. Muro

FitzGerald & Company, LLC 18 Tremont Street, Suite 210 Boston, Massachusetts 02108 Telephone (617) 523-6320 Facsimile (617) 523-6324

rmuro@fitzgeraldlawcompany.com

BBO No. 653657

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

				ame of first party on each side only) Posta v Ridge
	2. (Catego rule 40,	ry in w 1(a)(1))	ich the case belongs based upon the numbered nature of suit code listed on the civil cover sheet. See local
			l.	160, 410, 470, R.23, REGARDLESS OF NATURE OF SUIT.
			II.	195, 196, 368, 400, 440, 441-446, 540, 550, 555, 625, 710, 720, 730, *Also complete AO 120 or AO 121
			ui.	110, 120, 130, 140, 151, 190, 210, 230, 240, 245, 290, 310, 315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 355, 360, 362, 365, 370, 371, 380, 385, 450, 891.
	Ł		IV.	220, 422, 423, 430, 460, 480, 490, 610, 620, 630, 640, 650, 660, 690, 810, 861-865, 870, 871, 875, 900.
3	. Ti	tle and	V.	150, 152, 153. 0E 10456 MLW
	di	strict p	lease i	r, if any, of related cases. See Secal rule 40.1(g)). If more than one prior related case has been filed in this dicate the title and number of the first filed case in this court.
4.	Ha	ıs a pri	or actic	n between the same parties and based on the same claim ever been filed in this court?
5.	Do §24	es the 403)	comple	YES NO NO Int in this case question the constitutionality of an act of congress affecting the public interest? (See 28 USC
				or an officer, agent or employee of the U.S. a party?
6.	ls ti	his cas	e requi	YES NO ed to be heard and determined by a district court of three judges pursuant to title 28 USC §2284?
				YES NO
	Mas	sachu:	setts ("	s in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commonwealth of povernmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? - (See Local Rule 40.1(d)).
		A		YES NO If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside?
				Eastern Division Central Division Western Division
		В	•	If no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excluding governmental agencies, residing in Massachusetts reside?
				Eastern Division Western Division
8. I	f filir subm	ng a No nit a se	tice of parate	Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Court? (If yes,
				YES NO
		TYPE EY'S N	OR PRI	Roxana V. muer
ADD	RESS			18 Trement Street, 210 Boston, MA 02108

SJS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	INSTRUCTIONS ON THE P		DEFEN	DANTS	11/1
					MAR KOLL
(b) County of Residence	e of First Listed Plaintiff	Suffer		4075	MAR FOLLOW TO SOLVE
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIF	SOLUTION .	County of I	Residence of First Listed Defendant	114H RO.11
	- TEMIATIF	r CASES)		(IN U.S. PLANTIFF C	- WIT 101 K
			NOT	🖺 IN LAND COMPENSIA きばいっこう	1) CA (A
(c) Attorney's (Fig.)				LAND INVOLVED.	COUPT MASS
K Tov (Land	Address, and Telephone Nu	mber)	Attorneys (IEV .	OF LOURT
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name KCX(UN))	V MURPOPPIE	beind compa	ne	n known)	148811
	LJ MOLL . AA	02108 (612)50	31.32		
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X	" in One Box Only)	III CITIZENOVO		
U.S. Government	☐ 3 Federal Question		(For Diversity Co	P OF PRINCIPAL PARTI	ES(Place an "X" in One Boy for DI
Plaintiff	(U.S. Governme	ent Not a Party)		PTF DEF	and One Box for Defendant)
V .			Citizen of This State	☐ 1 Incorporated a	PTF DE
U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity			of Business In	This State
Solengan	(Indicate Citizer	iship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	incorporated a	nd Principal Place 7 5 7
		r == 1 cones m nem m)		of Business	In Another State
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	□ 3 □ 3 Foreign Nation	
CONTRACT	22 M One Box (Only)	Toleiga Country		
7 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	ORTS	FORFEITURE/PENA	UTY DANGER	
D 120 Marine D 130 Miller Act	☐ 310 Airplane	PERSONAL INJURY 362 Personal Injury -	☐ 610 Agriculture	DATE OF THE Y	OTHER STATUTES
140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 315 Airplane Product	Med. Malpractice	☐ 620 Other Food & D		1 400 State Reapportionment
J 150 Recovery of Overnayment	Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	J 365 Personal Injury -	625 Drug Related Se of Property 21 U	tizure 30 mga	410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking
7 15 1 1 1	Slander	Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal	1 030 Liquor Laws	PROPERTY PICTURE	1 450 Commerce
3 152 Recovery of Defaulted	J 330 Federal Employers' Liability	Injury Product	☐ 640 R.R. & Truck ☐ 650 Airline Regs.	I □ 820 Convrights	☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and
Student Loans	7 340 Marine	Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY	LJ 660 Occupational	☐ 830 Patent☐ 840 Trademark	Comint Organization
153 Recovery of Overnayment	7 345 Marine Product Liability	↓ J 370 Other Frand □ J 370 Other Frand	Safety/Health	- Cro Hademark	480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV
of Veteran's Benefits	1 350 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	L 1 810 Selective Service
190 Other Contract	J 355 Motor Vehicle	Property Damage	710 Fair Labor Standa Act	ards 861 HIA (1395ff)	850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange
195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	Product Liability 360 Other Personal	J 385 Property Damage	720 Labor/Munit Rel	1 862 Black Lung (923) ations 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	LJ 875 Customer Challenge
REAL PROPERTY	Injury	Product Liability	1 / 30 Labor/Mgmt.Rend	orting 864 SSID Title XVI	12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions
210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting	PRISONER PETITIONS	& Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Ac	1 865 RSI (405(g))	1 OZI Apricultural Assa
230 Pont I	442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	J.J. 790 Other Labor Line	tion FEDERAL TAX SUITS	J □ 892 Economic Stabilization 4
240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Habeas Corpus:	791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	or Defendant)	17 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act
245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	444 Welfare	☐ 530 General ☐ 535 Death Penalty	Scoully Act	7 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	895 Freedom of Information
230 All Other Real Property		☐ 540 Mandamus & Oaks		20 030 7809	I Act
in l	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	1 550 Civil Rights			900Appeal of Fee Determination
ſ	Omer	555 Prison Condition			to Justice
	440 Other Civil Rights				950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
ORIGIN _(Place an ")	X" in One Box Only)				
'Original □ 2 _{Remo}	, iT 2	emanded from	<u> </u>		Annasti Di
Proceeding State (Court	II O		ransferred from 6 Multidistri	Appeal to District Judge from
CAUCE OF	Cite the U.S. Civil State	ite under which you are fill	ng (Do not cite invise)	floring district Specify) Multidistrict Litigation Litigation tional statutes unless diversity):	ct Magistrate Judgment
CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of caus	31331, 513(2 Jurisdie	nonal statutes unless diversity):	- sauginçin
DEOLING	Writes Man	demus - Unjust	-/		
. REQUESTED IN	- CHECK IT THIS IS	A CLASS ACTIONS	HIGHL DE KIND	F Adjudication of	ase
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P. 2:	3	DEMAND S	CHECK YES only if	demanded in complaint:
I. RELATED CASE(S)			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	JURY DEMAND:	Yes TNo
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JDGE			- 17 110
	J.			DOCKET NUMBER	
_		SIGNATURE OF ATTORN	EY OF RECORD		
13-08-7115					
13-08-745		/ ILV	1n_		
3-0%-720S		W V	3n		